



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Cllr	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Walbank	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)	Ilkley Parish Council	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Ilkley	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 27/03/14
	[REDACTED]	Date: [REDACTED]

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	SC3
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The vision aims for growth leaves room for uncertainties including conflicts between growth and environmental factors such as loss of greenbelt. The overall approach seeks to promote urban development of brown field sites but there is little brown field land left available in Ilkley. There has been little improvement of the town centre infrastructure for roads, rail and drainage which all cause considerable concern in any proposed development. The Environment Agency report that the drainage system is overloaded now without any further housing development.

The Amec report highlights suggested word amendments which are a very significant factor for Ilkley.

SC3 Less emphasis should be placed on the road network but it is the lack of any real improvement in the road network that causes Ilkley a real problem. The villages of Addingham and Burley both have bypasses and they squeeze all the traffic through Ilkley. An alternative bridge over the river at Ben Rhydding would go some way to help those residents who live on the north side of the town and would also help reduce the number of heavily laden farm vehicles who have to use the New Brook Street bridge in the town centre. (A new bridge would also serve the villages of Langbar, Middleton, Denton and Askwith which are all in north Yorkshire so consultation is necessary with that County). This does not seem to be proposed in the Core Strategy. *Bus services are continually being curtailed and rail services are constrained by single track working.* The private motorist who needs to reach a place of employment faces daily traffic problems. There appears to be no proposal to create any cycle ways or public footpaths.

For Office Use only:

Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section		Paragraph		Policy	SC5
---------	--	-----------	--	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

SC5 Ilkley has a moor on the south side of the town which is an SSSI and is a haven for wild life which should be protected. Ilkley has on the north side historic woodland and in between these two areas lies the flood plain occupied by sporting facilities on the only level area in the valley. The historic assets of the town around the site of the Roman fort of Olicana and the later high quality Victorian buildings which form the conservation area should not have any large scale development which would adversely affect the character of the town. To use greenbelt land would only add to the already congested road traffic.

For Office Use only:

Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section		Paragraph		Policy	WD1
---------	--	-----------	--	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

WD1 The waste recycling point in the centre of Ilkley seems out of place in a residential area where the access roads are double parked for much of the time that the collection point is open. Consideration should be given to a new site with easy access out of the town centre such as the water treatment area off Countances Way.

For Office Use only:

Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section		Paragraph		Policy	EC2
---------	--	-----------	--	--------	------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

EC2 There seems to be little information on any proposed employment sites for the town but rather a great emphasis on housing. Housing will bring increased traffic, more pupils for overcrowded schools, and reduce parking possibilities. The Council's periodic review on parking and car parks does not materialise in to creating any improvement.

For Office Use only:

Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section		Paragraph		Policy	HO6
---------	--	-----------	--	--------	-----

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	X	No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	X	No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

HO6 Any large scale development such as the proposed 800 houses will so seriously adversely affect the town in relation to parking, schools, (which are already oversubscribed) and the green spaces that visitors come to enjoy and then the quality and character of the town will be changed from the area now enjoyed by so many residents and visitors.

The proposed 800 houses are the size of a small village which would also, in a tourist area such as Ilkley, have a shop, public house, church, school, village hall, car park and public toilets, village green, information board. There seems to be no additional provision for all these facilities to add to what Ilkley has to offer and the town is already full without the extra 800 dwellings.

If additional housing is to be required, the council suggests that the Spooner/Tesco on Railway Road should be a priority for development.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

27 March 2014

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

